harold salaberg Loute 3, 1 r.d ric., 21701 august 30, 1972

bear or. Thomas . helley,

Your 1 tter of august 25 begins, "The secret Service is unable to furni h you with the information requested in your latter of August 19,1972." If this is true, as I believe it is not, I then believe it ascents to confusion of a orine. The third pera, and of my letter goquests " acony of whatever law or other legal cuttority permitted the occret bervice to dispose of tide government property," mosely film purchased from federal aperopriations.

coreover, this film, once exposed, was covered by regulations which I believe have the

force and affect of last.

If the Secret Service did not violate the law in disposing of this film, the it does have and can provide se with copies of the legal authorization requests. I renew till request. If this promits at property was illegally disposed of, should not criminal action by instituted, begin ing with the person who authorized it and continuing through all beaus, including those who transported it out of government postession? As a citien on trapaper I believe this to be as true as if west was illegally disposed of was, cay, a typewriter.

In the same sense, the rest of this paragraph is susceptible of apacific response and I remove ty re dest with respect there to. There are or there are not "my relings, interpretations, decisions or my other records involved," cor over, mor a number of controlling

decisions, it these forwardy empoyed an issue status, that has been waiwed.

. I next paragraph refers to a mesoranum of secret service composition referring in turn to the exposure to light of certain autopay file. The Secret Service is the agency of original and under the law the "agency of paramount interest". This me orander exists, how ceen shown to others who have published its contents, and I removed request for a copy of it from the apency of both origin and paramount interest. (I have already provided you a coly of the relevant page of the attorney designal's experiences on 5 0.0.0.0000 in register of this paragraphy than request for identifiable documents, the requirment of the law.

You make a distinction in your letter between "information and any cocur ats". -his para reght unices what a believe is a perfectl proper request for information on which there may or may not be other records having to no with lightwing to file or the destruction of any evidence relation to the assausimation of the fresident. When there is at least one existing official and quoted record on this, can you truthfully cay, as you do say, "The Secret Service is useble to furnish you with the information requested" and " o do not have such information":

lou say further requests for any inforcation most the assassination should be addressed to the .. residvist. I have and exercise by rights under the law and parsuant to that I elect to address the Secret Service. coreover, the archivist has biaself relet on this so repetitionally I ought not have to remind you. It is the that I seem seed the secret service to begin with. I do not believe that any ex poste factor act by the legret Service changes tids. I con't b. lieve there is a mingle thing I have asked of you that was not part of the first request made ofter pererral by the Archevist one pursuant to artiten secret service invitation.

I audit the begin into a my latter may be in a different category. I do not know and have not committed comment. I would still prefer not to have to, so I pr sent this to you, personally, on this bends: did you tell me the truth, the whole truth and nothin; but the truthe I trusted you to tall me the truth, accepted and wrote it as the truth, and I do not now want to seems that writing to say you did not. For some years I have had a different view of the condition and problems of the Secret Service in this matter, which is non thing separate from the documentary record of the past. You have involved my personal integrity and the obligation I feel to the saiding of an accurate idstorical record. I take both seriously. .. what I am really asking is to be put in a position not to have to add a footnote or other co. ant that in one way or another would have to say that The son. Thomas J. Balley, assistant Director of the 3 cret service in charge of keeping american fromto attention. The whoice is fourt, not dine.

Sincerel ..